

PATENT APPLICATION

**SPAM CONTROL SYSTEM REQUIRING UNAUTHORIZED SENDERS TO PAY
POSTAGE THROUGH AN INTERNET PAYMENT SERVICE WITH PROVISION
FOR REFUND ON ACCEPTED MESSAGES**

Inventor: Lianghe Zuo, a citizen of China, residing at
3803 Darwin Drive, #234
Fremont, CA 94555

Assignee: Cashette, Inc.
4128 Business Center Drive
Fremont, CA 94538

Entity: Small business concern

2016.04.29 10:00:00

**SPAM CONTROL SYSTEM REQUIRING UNAUTHORIZED SENDERS TO PAY
POSTAGE THROUGH AN INTERNET PAYMENT SERVICE WITH PROVISION
FOR REFUND ON ACCEPTED MESSAGES**

[01] The present invention relates to a method and system for controlling the delivery of
5 unsolicited electronic mail messages over an electronic communications network such as the
Internet. More particularly, postage has to be paid, through an Internet payment service
system in close cooperation with the e-mail service system, on unsolicited spam e-mail with
provision for postage refund on accepted or replied to messages.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[02] Users of electronic mail are plagued with unwanted, unsolicited e-mail messages
broadcast to large numbers of e-mail addresses. This e-mail is commonly known as "spam."

[03] Electronic mass marketers (called "spammers") who broadcast such spam use a
variety of sources for obtaining e-mail address lists. Postings of the e-mail addresses on
Internet sites such as newsgroups sites, chat rooms sites, directory service sites,
15 messageboard sites, publicly available mailing list sites, and even "mailto" address links
provided on web pages are all used for their e-mail address information. Further, merchants
selling product, such as software frequently solicit e-mail addresses as part of their
"registration" protocol.

[04] For example, purchasers of software are almost always asked for their e-mail address.
20 The purchaser is immediately given a dilemma. If the purchaser fails to supply his e-mail
address, important notifications relating to the software product purchased will fail to be
timely delivered. If the purchaser does supply his e-mail address, along with any important
notifications relating to the software product purchased will come unwanted and undesired
"spam" e-mail.

[05] Users of the Internet services and electronic mail, as well as their employers or
Internet service providers, are not eager to have "spam" type e-mails delivered in large
quantities to their computers. Simply stated, the sorting of junk e-mail becomes an
organization wide drag on productivity. Such junk e-mail is further a daily annoyance to the
recipients whether in a business environment or at home.

[06] The prior art has recognized the seriousness of this problem. For example, Paul
30 United States Patent 6,052,709 entitled "Apparatus and Method for Controlling Delivery of
Unsolicited Electronic Mail" utilizes so-called "spam probe e-mail addresses." In this

system, artificial addresses are delivered to Internet sites where electronic mass marketers (spammers) obtain their address information. When the spam probe e-mail addresses receive e-mail, these artificial addresses or spam probe e-mail addresses then report to a central controller having a spam blocking system. The central controller first analyze the e-mail to identify whether the e-mail is likely to be spam. If so, the central controller can either discard or sort the suspected spam e-mail to a separate folder. Network blocking of spam results.

[07] Greenstein United States Patent 6,266,692 entitled "Method for Blocking All Unwanted E-mail Using a Header-Based Password" utilizes pass codes associated with the e-mail addresses. Messages with incorrect pass codes are deleted. Messages without pass codes are held for a short period of time, until they are either approved for receipt or deliberately deleted. Various protocols for obtaining the pass codes are set forth.

[08] Fleming, III United States Patent 6,249,805 entitled "Method and System for Filtering Unauthorized Electronic Mail Messages" utilizes a "junk mail" folder. All e-mail from unauthorized addresses is automatically directed to the junk mail folder. Eventual sorting of the junk mail folder is required.

[09] Horvitz et al. United States Patent 6,161,130 screens messages with a "probabilistic classifier." In this system, incoming suspected spam e-mails are content screened. Those messages having inappropriate content are automatically discarded. The probabilistic classifier is re-trained for improved spam e-mail recognition.

[10] These systems all have their drawbacks. So-called "spam probe e-mail addresses" are randomly placed and as a result of this random placement, are unfortunately random in their detecting suspected spam e-mail. Moreover, so-called pass codes systems and junk mail folder systems all require eventual sorting. So-called "automatic screening" can result in the deletion of desired e-mail; for example, deletion of notification from software suppliers of important product information can result.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

[11] An e-mail service system maintains close co-operative interaction with a payment service system to provide the capability to control unsolicited e-mail messages commonly known as spam. The e-mail service system maintains an exception list for each e-mail account protected by the spam control service (typically the account holder's address book) and automatically delivers e-mail from all sources connected with the exception list. E-mail from addressers not on the exception list of the addressee is delivered to the recipient protected by the spam control service if the required postage is paid. If the addresser is not on

the recipient's exception list and has not paid the required postage, an e-mail is sent to the addresser informing that, without required postage payment, message delivery to the protected e-mail account will not occur. Each account holder can set the required postage amount or, alternatively, the system administrator of the company, in case of a company e-mail account, can set it. Each addresser decides whether he makes the required payment for each e-mail message he sends. Provision can be made for the payment service system to credit the account of the addressee with all, a fraction, or none of the "postage" received from the addresser. Postage refund to the sender can occur responsive to two scenarios. First, if the addressee replies to an e-mail with postage, the postage payment will be automatically refunded provided such reply occurs within a predetermined period of time. Second, editing of the exception list to include the e-mail address of the sender can operate to refund postage to the sender provided such editing occurs within a predetermined period of time. Provision is made for the recipient to directly edit the exception list of his own account. Provision can also be made to include automatically in the exception list anyone to whom the account holder has sent any e-mail. Postage refund is of great significance for the system to be useful in real application as otherwise many non-spam e-mail senders may not send the message when they are not on the intended recipient's exception list due to the cost to send the message, which in turn will prevent e-mail account holders to use spam control service for fear of not receiving desired messages.

[12] It will be understood that this disclosure provides a payment or *postage* based solution to the persistent and widespread problem of unsolicited electronic mails (e-mails), especially those that are of an advertising nature and sent to a large number of recipients. While the preferred embodiment shows an e-mail service system, in co-operative interaction with a payment service system, it will be understood that the two systems may be combined into one.

[13] The employment of a general payment service system offers many advantages. Since a payment system may have been made for other purposes, the cost for the spam control service can be reduced and the deployment of the service can be much easier. Users who have already opened an account with the general payment service system will not have to open and maintain a separate account for spam control purpose. If he open an account for spam control purpose, he can also use the account for general payment service purposes. It should be noted that the payment service system can be for postage payment only although it may be advantageous to use it for some other payment purposes as well.

[14] Each individual account holder typically determines the postage required to deliver unsolicited e-mail messages to that account. The network operator of the individual's company or Internet service provider may also determines the postage in case of a company e-mail account.

5 [15] The disclosure provides an exception list, which is edited and controlled either by the individual or the network operator of the recipient. Editing of the exception list by the network operator may be desired in the case of a company e-mail account. Systems for the automatic cancellations of charges are responsive to either adding the sender to the exception list or reply by the recipient to the sender within a predetermined period of time. Provision
10 can be made that the recipient can manually reverse the payment as well.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

[16] Fig 1 is a block diagram schematically setting forth the interaction between the e-mail service system and the payment service system illustrating transaction flow between a sender and protected recipient;

15 [17] Fig 2 is a block diagram schematically setting forth the interaction between the e-mail service system and the payment service system illustrating two "postage" canceling protocols including a postage refund responsive to a recipient's reply to an e-mail from a source not on the exception list and a postage refund responsive to adding the sender to the exception list;

[18] Fig 3 is a schematic representation of an e-mail program window with a "postage" button allowing the sender to click on it to bring up the window shown in Fig 4 to designate the postage the sender is willing to pay to insure receipt of his e-mail; and,

20 [19] Fig 4 is a schematic of a dialog box at the sender's computer to enable the sender to designate the postage he is willing to pay to have his e-mail delivered to the recipient when the sender is not on the recipient's exception list.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

[20] In the following specification the term "sender" or "addresser" will refer to the sender of an electronic mail, whether that message is sent to one or multiple recipients.

[21] "Recipient" or "addressee" will refer to one of the intended recipient(s) of the e-mail.

30 Note that an e-mail account or user is typically enabled to be either a sender or a recipient or both.

[22] "Postage" or "spam fee" will refer to a payment that an unauthorized sender has to make in order to ensure that the e-mail reaches a particular recipient .

[23] The term "e-mail service system" refers to any system that handles sending and receiving of e-mail messages through a network whether or not it has other added functions.

5 [24] The terms "payment service system" refers to any system that handles sending and receiving of payment whether or not it has other added functions.

[25] The term "exception list" is a list containing e-mail addresses from which e-mails can be sent to the owner of such a list without the payment of postage. This list may contain other information related to those e-mail addresses; such as but not limited to, names, phone
10 numbers, and addresses.

[26] The term "reply e-mail" refers to, after an e-mail is sent from the sender to the recipient, an e-mail sent from the recipient of the original e-mail to the sender of the original e-mail, whether or not it is intended as a reply.

[27] The reader will understand that the e-mail service system can be combined with the general payment service system, dependent upon the convenience of the operator of this system.

[28] Having defined the terms, the operation of the preferred embodiment can now be set forth.

[29] Referring to Fig 1, sender S sends an e-mail to e-mail service system E through e-mail route 14. E-mail service system E compares the identity of the addresser (sender S) to the exception list L of the recipient. Two possible conditions can exist.

[30] First, the e-mail address of sender S can be on the exception list. In this case responsive to check 16, report 18 from the exception list L will be favorable. E-mail will be forwarded to recipient R at e-mail route 20. Second, the e-mail address of sender S can be
25 absent from the exception list L. In this case, a report 18 from the exception list L will be negative causing the e-mail service system E to send a check 22 to payment service system P.

[31] At this juncture, two possibilities exist. A payment of spam fee or postage of at least the amount preset by the recipient may have been paid by the sender S to the recipient R. If this is the case, a positive report 24 will be sent to email service system E causing e-mail
30 service system E to release the e-mail on route 20 to the recipient R. Alternatively, the sender S may have paid the recipient R less than the amount of postage preset by the recipient R or have made no payment at all. In this case, a negative report 24 will be sent to e-mail service system E. A negative report 24 will cause the e-mail service system E to send an e-

mail notification (Reject Email 25) to the sender S stating that the e-mail cannot be sent the intended recipient without the required postage payment.

[32] The postage, when required, can be paid either separately or along with the e-mail. An e-mail program can be developed to allow simultaneous sending of both the postage and the e-mail. If the postage is sent separately, the payment must have been made by the time the e-mail is sent. No delayed payment based on billing or promise to pay is accepted, as those are not only burdensome but also often unenforceable.

[33] It will be understood that exception list L can be edited by recipient R (see path 26). The list can include any or all business and personal connections of his choosing. The exemption list is based on the individual's e-mail address book with the capability of being modified by not only the account holder but also anyone having authorized access to that list including network administrator and/or employer in case of a company e-mail account. The spam control service provider can also add to the exception list anyone to whom the account holder has sent an e-mail.

[34] It will be appreciated that sender S's e-mail may have been personal or business-related and not related in anyway to unsolicited volume advertisements such as spam. This being the case, sender S will have paid a (nominal) fee to ensure delivery of his e-mail. However, the recipient R can reverse the "postage" payment as shown in Fig 2.

[35] Referring to Fig 2, two scenarios are illustrated for the postage refund. First, it is assumed that recipient R reply to the e-mail of sender S (it will be remembered that his e-mail was delivered because of its *postage*). Upon reply, e-mail service system E notifies payment service system P along path 42. The postage refund is sent along path 44 and 48.

Alternately, recipient R can edit exception list L through path 46. In this case, the change of exception list L to include the address of sender S is noted to payment service system P along path 42'. The postage payment is refunded in this case as well.

[36] Referring to Fig 3, a schematic of an e-mail program window appearing on the computer of the sender S is shown. Clicking the mouse on the area 50 marked "Postage" will cause the dialog box illustrated in Fig 4 to appear. Utilizing this dialog box, the sender can designate the postage that he or she is willing to pay.

[37] Likewise, it will be understood that recipient R can set a payment threshold to suit his particular circumstance. Only postage payment that meets or exceeds this threshold will cause the system to deliver the e-mail message associated with that postage to be delivered to the intended recipient(s). Alternatively, this payment threshold can be set by the network administrator or employer in case of a company email account.

[38] It will be understood that every account is enabled as either a sender S or a recipient R. This feature is convenient for the sender S, the recipient R, and the proprietor of payment service system P.

10055547 013102